UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,972	12/22/2006	Johan Massee	M26.12-0015	7531
	7590 06/11/200 HAMPLIN & KELLY,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400			TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3244			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/577,972	MASSEE, JOHAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EDWARD TOLAN	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- [.] action is non-final.				
·—	,—				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dissect in assertation with the practice and in E.	A parte gaayie, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(o) and dubject to rectnetion and, or	olocion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	,— <u> </u>				
_ .	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-3-2006</u> .					
, , , , <u>——</u>					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et al. (5,598,729) in view of Pollkoetter (6,817,219). Hoffman discloses a method and machine for deforming a workpiece (7) by rotating the workpiece and a rolling tool (78) on roller housings (6,8) in relation to each other. The tool (78) is moved relative to the workpiece through deforming curves (88A,88B,88C) (column 14, lines 5-17). Hoffman discloses (column 16, lines 9-28 and) that a position of a free edge (108) of the workpiece is determined and that a forming curve arc length from a starting point (124) to the free end (108) is increased with each forming

Application/Control Number: 10/577,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

pass until a workpiece end shape is completed. Hoffman discloses (column 18, lines 24-52) that a controller (140,160) is operable to receive input including workpiece length, shape and free end position and that machine control (column 18, lines 60-65) is initiated to control the forming process. Hoffman discloses (column 17, lines 1-11) how subsequent forming passes are determined based upon a free end position and distance (delta) to determine extension of the free end. A position of the rolling tool (78) is controlled precisely in relation to a tube end (column 12, lines 8-18). Hoffman defines coordinate system (122,124) and discloses measurements (figs. 28,29A,29B) of the free edge (108) and starting point (124) in relation to origin (122) to determine a roller path for passes (n,n+1...). Hoffman does not disclose contactless sensors for determining a free edge position. Pollkoetter teaches (column 6, lines 9-27) contactless optical sensors (46,48,50,52) that sense a free end of blank (14) and that axial and radial infeed of forming rollers (18) are controlled by the measurement provided by the sensors. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Hoffman with optical sensing of a free end as taught by Pollkoetter in order to provide the free end position to the controller for each forming curve.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

/Edward Tolan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725

Application/Control Number: 10/577,972

Page 4

Art Unit: 3725